

[REDACTED] PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:43 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] PS/SP
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 4:53 PM
To: Beecher, Sophie (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Sophie, you should be aware of this. [REDACTED] The company has been waiting for an answer from us for some time now. Anna is the counsel assigned to Lawful Access. [REDACTED] I got the most recent e-mail from [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 4:49 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Wondered if you had come to a conclusion that we might discuss.

Best regards.. [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: February 8, 2017 3:17 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thanks [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: February 8, 2017 2:50 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)

Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

I thought that your question had been resolved. Give me a couple of days to do some digging. Will be in touch one way or another by the end of the week.

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 2:45 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good afternoon, [redacted]

I thought that a fair time had passed since we last talked about [redacted]
[redacted]

I'd very much like to discuss this with you to eliminate any uncertainty on our part.

Best regards.. [redacted]

[redacted]

From [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: November 30, 2016 5:43 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thank you for your response [redacted]

I look forward to the conclusion of your review.

Don't hesitate to contact us should you have any questions.

Best regards.. [redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: November 30, 2016 2:05 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good afternoon [redacted]

My apologies for the delay in responding – I've been away.

Work related to your query is ongoing, though I'm afraid we don't yet have a response We'll alert you as soon as we have something.

Regards,

[redacted]
Public Safety Canada
[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2016 10:56 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning to you all ..

I was wondering if you had come to any decision on the discussion [redacted]

Best regards.. [redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: October 25, 2016 10:11 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning to you all,

I wanted to thank you for taking the time from your busy schedules for our discussion on Friday afternoon regarding Lawful Interception obligations [redacted]

As noted in the call, [REDACTED] Lawful Interception Condition of its ISED Licence reads as follows:

The licensee using the spectrum for voice telephony systems must, from the inception of service, provide for and maintain lawful interception capabilities as authorized by law.

As noted on the call, [REDACTED]

I wanted to confirm with you that [REDACTED]

I look forward to your reply. [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]

Sent: October 20, 2016 10:55 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)

Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks - 3:30 Friday it is then.

At 3:30, please call:

613-960-7513 (local) or 1-877-413-4788 (toll-free)
...and when prompted enter conference ID number [REDACTED]

We'll talk to you tomorrow.

Regards,

Public Safety Canada

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 10:28 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Thanks for your flexibility and yes, 3:30 tomorrow would work well for me. I may ask [redacted] to join the call as well.



I hope this outlines the concerns we have and I look forward to a fruitful discussion tomorrow.

Best regards.. [redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: October 20, 2016 9:37 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Does tomorrow (Friday) at 3:30 work for you? Once you confirm, I'll set up a teleconference

Also, we'd be grateful for any details that you could share regarding the nature of the urgent issues ahead of time.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Senior Policy Advisor
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:21 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

We were in the process of working out a date/time to propose for a teleconference before getting back to you, but we were thinking in terms of a few weeks from now. Are you able to describe the general nature of the time sensitive matters, or would you prefer to keep that to the call?

Meanwhile, I'll pass your request for an urgent call to my colleagues.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Senior Policy Advisor
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:17 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Is there any chance that we could arrange for a discussion this week?

I have a few issues which are time sensitive.

Thanks in advance.. [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: October 17, 2016 2:28 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] 'Toma, Alexandra (IC)'; 'Scott, Shari (IC)'; [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thanks for the quick reply, [REDACTED]

Looking forward to discussing this with you, [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]

Sent: October 17, 2016 11:32 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [REDACTED] Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)

Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email.

The management of the forbearance file has recently transitioned over to my colleague [REDACTED]. I have cc'd him on this email for ease. He will be best positioned to organize a time to discuss the recent developments and any potential implications.

Thanks again,

Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry: [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel [REDACTED]@canada.ca



Public Safety Sécurité publique
Canada Canada

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 11:25 AM

To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)

Cc: [REDACTED] Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)

Subject: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Our May 21st ISED letter granting [REDACTED] forbearance from certain parts of the lawful interception requirements requires that we have discussions in October 2016 [REDACTED] progress towards full compliance to the Solicitor General requirements. This is the reason for my email.

As I had mentioned when we spoke in April, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I wanted to discuss these developments with you and the implications on our Lawful Interception requirements.

Please let me know when you are available for a discussion.

Best regards. [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]

Sent: April 25, 2016 11:26 AM

To: [REDACTED] Scott, Shari (IC)

Cc: [REDACTED] Toma, Alexandra (IC)

Subject: RE: Modification to [REDACTED] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for the email and the clarification.

We will be in touch,

Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry: [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



Public Safety Canada / Sécurité publique Canada

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 10:43 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Scott, Shari (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED]; Toma, Alexandra (IC)
Subject: Modification to [REDACTED] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning to you all,

This morning I had a discussion with [REDACTED]



Best regards.....



[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:45 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] email

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:\[REDACTED\]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:[REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 11:27 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] email

I am ok with the draft as is.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
Program Support
Tactical Operations Section
Technical Investigations Service

>>> [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" <[REDACTED]@canada.ca> 2017/03/07 11:09 AM >>>
Good morning all,

Further to our discussion yesterday, please find our draft email to [REDACTED] below for your consideration. It's been approved at the Director-level here.

We will circle back to ISED after incorporating any comments you may have. In the interest of providing a response to the proponent as soon as possible, I'd be grateful if you are able to provide your feedback at your earliest convenience.

Thanks again for your help in bringing this case to a resolution.

Regards,

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Please accept our sincere apologies for the delay in providing this response. We appreciate your patience in awaiting a resolution to this matter.

[REDACTED]
Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED] [@canada.ca](mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca)

**Pages 12 to / à 15
are not relevant
sont non pertinentes**

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:43 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] email

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:42 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] email

Hi. That seems ok to me.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 4:48 PM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] email

Hi everybody;

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 5:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] email

Thank you to everyone for your comments with the short notice. Proposed changes look good.

I will follow up if ISED raises any concerns and on any next steps.

Cheers,

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 2:08 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] email

Hi [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 7-Mar-17 11:10 AM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]

Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: [redacted] email

Good morning all,

Further to our discussion yesterday, please find our draft email to [redacted] below for your consideration. It's been approved at the Director-level here.

We will circle back to ISED after incorporating any comments you may have. In the interest of providing a response to the proponent as soon as possible, I'd be grateful if you are able to provide your feedback at your earliest convenience.

Thanks again for your help in bringing this case to a resolution.

Regards,

[redacted]
[redacted]
Good afternoon [redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

[redacted] @canada.ca

(PS/SP)

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:44 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: SGES

15

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 6:49 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: Re: SGES

15

I'm good with meeting after Canada day long week end.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 6:02 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: SGES

15

Hi everybody,

CSIS has requested a meeting with ISED regarding [redacted]

PS is handling the logistics of getting everyone in the room together. I've reached out to ISED with the suggestion that we all meet after the Canada Day long weekend. Would this timing also work for the RCMP?

Thanks,

[redacted]
[redacted]
Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada
[redacted]@canada.ca

(PS/SP)

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 12:45 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications Enforcement (Standards)

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 12:59 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

I'm good as well.

>>>

From: Rick Gendre
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
CC: [redacted]
Date: 2017/03/03 12:52 PM
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

I am, [redacted] can respond.

Thanks

[redacted]
Program Support
Tactical Operations Section
Technical Investigations Service

[redacted]
email: [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
phone: [redacted]
fax: [redacted]

[redacted] cell

>>> [redacted] (PS/SP)" <[redacted]@canada.ca> 2017/03/03 12:34 PM >>>
Would 1PM Monday work for you? [redacted] are open all afternoon Monday, except from 2-3.

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:46 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Early next week would be better.

[redacted]

Program Support
Tactical Operations Section
Technical Investigations Service

[redacted]

[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

phone: ([redacted])
fax: [redacted]

[redacted] cell

>>> [redacted] (PS/SP)" [redacted]@canada.ca> 2017/03/02 3:52 PM >>>
Hi everybody,

[redacted]

[redacted] (and others if there is an interest), would you be available for a conference call on this issue either tomorrow or early next week?

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 2:59 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Ali,

Attached is the current license, courtesy of ISED.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 1:23 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

>>>

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" [REDACTED]@canada.ca>
To: [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca> [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca" [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
CC: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" [REDACTED]@canada.ca> [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca>, [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca>, [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)" [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>, [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" [REDACTED]@canada.ca>, [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca" [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2016/10/20 9:20 AM
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi,

How about 3:30? [REDACTED] – if that works for you, let me know and I'll tell [REDACTED]

Thanks,
Chris

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 8:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP) [redacted] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca'

Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted] the only time that works for us is tomorrow afternoon after 2.

[redacted] and I are also out of the office next week.

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: 19-Oct-16 5:23 PM
To: [redacted] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca'
Subject: FW: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Colleagues,

Please see the updated urgent request below. [redacted] is now requesting a call **this week**. If you want to proceed, let me know ASAP what times work for you.

Thanks,
[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:21 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

We were in the process of working out a date/time to propose for a teleconference before getting back to you, but we were thinking in terms of a few weeks from now. Are you able to describe the general nature of the time sensitive matters, or would you prefer to keep that to the call?

Meanwhile, I'll pass your request for an urgent call to my colleagues.

Thanks,
[redacted]

Senior Policy Advisor
Public Safety Canada
613-991-1610

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:17 PM
To: Martin, Christopher (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]

Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Is there any chance that we could arrange for a discussion this week?

I have a few issues which are time sensitive.

Thanks in advance.. [redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: October 17, 2016 2:28 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted]; 'Toma, Alexandra (IC)'; 'Scott, Shari (IC)'; [redacted] PS/SP'
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thanks for the quick reply, [redacted]

Looking forward to discussing this with you, [redacted]

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: October 17, 2016 11:32 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Thank you for your email.

The management of the forbearance file has recently transitioned over to my colleague [redacted]. I have cc'd him on this email for ease. He will be best positioned to organize a time to discuss the recent developments and any potential implications.

Thanks again,

[redacted]
Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel [redacted]



Public Safety Sécurité publique
Canada Canada

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 11:25 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)

Cc: [redacted] Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)
Subject: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning [redacted]

[redacted]

Our May 21st ISED letter granting [redacted] forbearance from certain parts of the lawful interception requirements requires that we have discussions in October 2016 on [redacted] progress towards full compliance to the Solicitor General requirements. This is the reason for my email.

[redacted]

I wanted to discuss these developments with you and the implications on our Lawful Interception requirements.

Please let me know when you are available for a discussion.

Best regards.. [redacted]

[redacted]

From: [redacted] PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]

Sent: April 25, 2016 11:26 AM

To: [redacted] Scott, Shari (IC)

Cc: [redacted] Toma, Alexandra (IC)

Subject: RE: Modification to [redacted] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Thank you for the email and the clarification.

We will be in touch,

[redacted]

Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel: [redacted]@canada.ca



Public Safety
Canada

Sécurité publique
Canada

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 10:43 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP); Scott, Shari (IC)
Cc: [redacted] Toma, Alexandra (IC)
Subject: Modification to [redacted] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning to you all,

[Large redacted block of text]

Best regards...

[Redacted signature block]

(PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 11:31 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thanks very much for the reply, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Best regards, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Mar 16, 2017, at 10:39 AM, [REDACTED] (PS/SP) <[REDACTED]@canada.ca> wrote:


[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 2:53 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

Just touching base to get an update [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Best regards.



(PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 11:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

[REDACTED]

Do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions..

Best regards.. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Mar 16, 2017, at 10:39 AM, [REDACTED] (PS/SP) <[REDACTED]@canada.ca> wrote:

[REDACTED]


From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 2:53 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good afternoon Darryl,

Just touching base to get an update [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Best regards. 



**Pages 31 to / à 34
are not relevant
sont non pertinentes**

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 7:57 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Lander, Elisabeth (IC); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: SGES

I am available the week after the long weekend. Just give me a date and time.

Duane

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: June-21-17 5:51 PM
To: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Cc: Lander, Elisabeth (IC); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Hartley, William (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: SGES

Thanks, Duane,

By way of additional context, the agencies would like to discuss the matter of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

What is your availability after the long weekend? I would be happy to handle the meeting logistics and host everyone at 269 Laurier.

[REDACTED]

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 12:50 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Pichette, Laura (IC); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Hartley, William (PS/SP); Lander, Elisabeth (IC)
Subject: RE: SGES

Hi

I am still involved – primarily from a licence conditions compliance and application for forbearance viewpoint

Duane

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: June-19-17 12:17 PM
To: Pichette, Laura (IC); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Hartley, William (PS/SP)
Subject: SGES

Good afternoon all,

CSIS has asked Public Safety to coordinate a meeting between ISED and the PS portfolio regarding [REDACTED]

I understand that there have been many changes at ISED in recent weeks. Are your teams still the appropriate points of contact for issues related to the SolGen Standards?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED] [@canada.ca](mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca)

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance [REDACTED]
Attachments: [REDACTED] Lawful Intercept Forbearance Request Feb 21.pdf; SITT-STIT-#767268-v1-Approval_[REDACTED].request_for_forbearance_to_[REDACTED]

FYI -

[REDACTED]
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry: [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



Public Safety Canada Sécurité publique Canada

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

Duane

**Pages 38 to / à 39
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

**of the Access to Information
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

[Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

I refer to your letter dated February 21, 2017, requesting a 24-month extension to the earlier forbearance from [Redacted] of the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications*.

[Redacted]

In light of the above, by this letter I am granting forbearance [Redacted] from the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications* provision [Redacted]

Notwithstanding that Public Safety Canada (PSC) may receive updates [Redacted]

This forbearance is issued without prejudice to the requirements of any other legislative obligations.

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact Matthew Mulvihill at (613) 614-8710 or matthew.mulvihill@canada.ca.

Sincerely,

Peter Hill
Director General
Spectrum Management Operations Branch

c.c.:  Public Safety Canada
[@canada.ca](mailto:PublicSafetyCanada@canada.ca)

 Public Safety Canada
[@canada.ca](mailto:PublicSafetyCanada@canada.ca)

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: Pichette, Laura (IC) on behalf of Hill2, Peter (IC)
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 11:43 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Hill2, Peter (IC)
Subject: With date : Extension to the forbearance
Attachments: 2016-06-03- Letter to [REDACTED]
Importance: High

Apologies, the letter was inadvertently sent without a date. Please find attached a revised version.

Peter Hill
Director General, Spectrum Management Operations | Directeur general, Opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum Management Operations Branch | Direction générale des opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum, Information Technologies and Telecommunications Sector | Secteur du Spectre, des technologies de l'information et des télécommunications
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada | Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada
235 Queen Street, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 | 235, rue Queen, Ottawa, ON K1A 0H5
Telephone | Téléphone 343-291-3462
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

From: Pichette, Laura (IC) **On Behalf Of** Hill2, Peter (IC/IC)
Sent: June-03-16 11:15 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Matthew Mulvihill (matthew.mulvihill@canada.ca); Hill2, Peter (IC); [REDACTED]@canada.ca'; [REDACTED]@canada.ca'
Subject: Extension to the forbearance
Importance: High

[REDACTED]
Please see attached in a letter in response to your request of March 15, 2016.

Best regards,

Peter Hill
Director General, Spectrum Management Operations | Directeur general, Opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum Management Operations Branch | Direction générale des opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum, Information Technologies and Telecommunications Sector | Secteur du Spectre, des technologies de l'information et des télécommunications
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada | Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada
235 Queen Street, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 | 235, rue Queen, Ottawa, ON K1A 0H5
Telephone | Téléphone 343-291-3462
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada



JUN 03 2016

[Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

I refer to your letter dated March 15, 2016, requesting a 24-month extension to the earlier forbearance from [Redacted] of the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications*.

[Redacted]

In light of the above, by this letter I am granting forbearance to [Redacted] from the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications* provision [Redacted] for one year, until April 30, 2017.

[Redacted]

This forbearance is issued without prejudice to the requirements of any other legislative obligations.

...2/

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact Matthew Mulvihill at (613) 614-8710 or matthew.mulvihill@canada.ca.

Sincerely,



Peter Hill
Director General
Spectrum Management Operations Branch

c.c.: [redacted] Public Safety Canada

[redacted] Public Safety Canada
[redacted] [@canada.ca](mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca)

(PS/SP)

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 10:36 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance [redacted]
Attachments: Response to [redacted] Lawful Intercept Forbearance Request; With date : Extension to the forbearance

Hey there,

These are the two letters that were finalized last year.

[redacted]

Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel: [redacted]@canada.ca



From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 10:28 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Thanks [redacted] Would you able to send your records from the last go around on this request?

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [redacted] orbearance - [redacted]

FYI -

Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel: [redacted]@canada.ca



From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [REDACTED] PS/SP
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

Duane

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: Barrett, Hannah (IC) on behalf of Hill2, Peter (IC)
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 10:03 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Hill2, Peter (IC); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Souliere, James (IC); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] PS/SP
Subject: Response to [REDACTED] Lawful Intercept Forbearance Request
Attachments: 2016-07-25- Letter to [REDACTED].pdf

Please find attached a response to your letter of April 26, 2016, requesting forbearance from [REDACTED] of the Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications.

Hannah Barrett

Executive Assistant, Spectrum Management Operations Branch
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada/Government of Canada
hannah.barrett@canada.ca / Tel: 343-291-3465 / TTY: 1-866-694-8389

Adjointe Executive, Direction générale des opérations de la gestion du spectre
Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
Hannah.barrett@canada.ca / Tél: 343-291-3465 / ATS: 1-866-694-8389



Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada

Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada

JUL 25 2016

[Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

I refer to your letter dated April 26, 2016, requesting forbearance from [Redacted] of the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications* for a period of 12 months [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

In light of the above, by this letter I am granting forbearance to [Redacted] from the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications* provision for six months, until October 31, 2016.


This forbearance is issued without prejudice to the requirements of any other legislative obligations.

...2/

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact Matthew Mulvihill at (613) 614-8710 or matthew.mulvihill@canada.ca.

Sincerely,

for 
Peter Hill
Director General
Spectrum Management Operations Branch

c.c.:  Public Safety Canada
@canada.ca">@canada.ca

 Public Safety Canada
@canada.ca">@canada.ca

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 10:13 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

I think it is more than reasonable for us to expect a response from the agencies within a week, particularly if (like this one) they had anticipated the request coming in. My understanding from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] experience handling these in the fall, there are no major issues with most requests [REDACTED]

That means in most cases we should be able to get a reply to ISED on the same day (or at least within a day) the agencies provide their response, allowing for an overall turnaround time of a week give or take a day or two. The only caveat is that we obviously can't control how responsive the agencies are, although I don't recall this being an issue in the fall.

When the agencies do have issues with the request, I think it would make sense to have the goal of resolving those issues ([REDACTED]) within another week.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 10:06 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Perfect.

We should think about a rough standard response time (for all parts of this) – what are our reasonable expectations?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 10:04 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Emailed CSIS and the RCMP on Thursday. Still waiting for a response.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 10:03 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Fw: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Where are we on this?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

FYI -

[REDACTED]

Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry: [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel [REDACTED]@canada.ca



From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

H [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

Duane

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 4:54 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] Beecher, Sophie (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

My sincere apologies, [REDACTED] We'll be closing the loop soon.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 2:53 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

Just touching base to get an update on your deliberations on lawful intercept requirements. [REDACTED]

Best regards [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Pages 53 to / à 54
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

**of the Access to Information
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:47 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Program Support
Tactical Operations Section
Technical Investigations Service

[REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
phone: [REDACTED]
fax [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] cell

>>> [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" [REDACTED]@canada.ca> 2017/03/02 3:58 PM >>>

Hi everybody,

Would also like to follow up to see if your organizations have had the chance to put your minds to this request.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 4:03 PM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca); [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca'; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Hello all,

NSOD passed along [REDACTED] request for forebearance [REDACTED] and ISED's draft approval letter this morning. [REDACTED] advised Duane that they're no longer handling lawful access.

I'd be grateful for your views regarding the attached, [REDACTED]

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

FYI -

[REDACTED]
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

Duane

(PS/SP)

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 11:02 AM
To: [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca;
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Thanks for this, [redacted]

I will pass this on to ISED and circle back should they raise any concerns.

Cheers,
[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:05 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca;
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Hi [redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: 2-Mar-17 3:59 PM
To: [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca;
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Hi everybody,

Would also like to follow up to see if your organizations have had the chance to put your minds to this request.

Thanks,
[redacted]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 4:03 PM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca); [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca'; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance - [REDACTED]

Hello all,

NSOD passed along [REDACTED] request for forebearance [REDACTED] and ISED's draft approval letter this morning. [REDACTED] advised Duane that they're no longer handling lawful access.

I'd be grateful for your views regarding the attached, noting that [REDACTED] is requesting an extension of two years from that date [REDACTED]

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] orbearance - [REDACTED]

FYI -

[REDACTED]
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry: [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



Public Safety Sécurité publique
Canada Canada

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] orbearance - [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

Duane

(PS/SP)

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 11:14 AM
To: 'duane.rudeen@canada.ca'
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Good Morning Duane,

I've heard back from the RCMP [redacted] regarding this request.

[redacted]

I'd also ask that you please update the draft letter so that it is CC'd to myself and to [redacted] (copied).

Cheers,

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

FYI -

Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry: [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel: [redacted]@canada.ca



From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 9:29 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: Taschereau, Rodrigue (PS/SP)
Subject: [redacted] forbearance - [redacted]

Hi [redacted]

[redacted] has requested another extension. Attached is their request and our draft approval

Please have a look and let me know PSC's thoughts

Thanks

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca>
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 12:32 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi, I'm good anytime Monday except 2-3. [REDACTED] is also free all afternoon.

Thanks
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 3-Mar-17 11:25 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Further to the below, I was going to float Monday afternoon with everyone if that would also work for you.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:46 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Early next week would be better.
[REDACTED]

email [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

phone: [redacted]

fax: [redacted]

[redacted] cell

>> [redacted] PS/SP)" <[redacted]@canada.ca> 2017/03/02 3:52 PM >>>

Hi everybody,

[redacted]

[redacted] would you be available for a conference call on this issue either tomorrow or early next week?

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 2:59 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

[redacted]

Attached is the current license, courtesy of ISED.

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted] mailto:[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 1:23 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

[redacted]

Thanks,

>>>

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)" [redacted]@canada.ca>
To: [redacted]@smtp.gc.ca> [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca" [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
CC: [redacted] (PS/SP)" [redacted]@canada.ca>, [redacted]@smtp.gc.ca> [redacted]@smtp.gc.ca>,
[redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca" [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>,
[redacted] PS/SP)" [redacted]@canada.ca>, [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca" [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca">
Date: 2016/10/20 9:20 AM
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi,

How about 3:30? [redacted] if that works for you, let me know and I'll tell [redacted]

Thanks,
[redacted]

From: [redacted]mailto:[redacted]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 8:36 AM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
Cc: [redacted] PS/SP); [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca'
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted] the only time that works for us is tomorrow afternoon after 2.

[redacted] and I are also out of the office next week.

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: 19-Oct-16 5:23 PM
To: [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP) [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca'
Subject: FW: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Colleagues,

Please see the updated urgent request below. [redacted] is now requesting a call this week. If you want to proceed, let me know ASAP what times work for you.

Thanks,
[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:21 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] PS/SP); [redacted] PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful

Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

We were in the process of working out a date/time to propose for a teleconference before getting back to you, but we were thinking in terms of a few weeks from now. Are you able to describe the general nature of the time sensitive matters, or would you prefer to keep that to the call?

Meanwhile, I'll pass your request for an urgent call to my colleagues.

Thanks,

[redacted]
Senior Policy Advisor
Public Safety Canada
[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:\[redacted\]](#)
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 5:17 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Is there any chance that we could arrange for a discussion this week?

I have a few issues which are time sensitive.

Thanks in advance.. [redacted]

From: [redacted] [\[mailto:\[redacted\]\]](#)
Sent: October 17, 2016 2:28 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] Toma, Alexandra (IC); 'Scott, Shari (IC)'; [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Thanks for the quick reply, [redacted]

Looking forward to discussing this with you, [redacted]

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [\[mailto:\[redacted\]@canada.ca\]](#)
Sent: October 17, 2016 11:32 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP); [redacted]; Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)
Subject: RE: [redacted] follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [redacted]

Thank you for your email.

The management of the forbearance file has recently transitioned over to my colleague [redacted] I have cc'd him on this email for ease. He will be best positioned to organize a time to discuss the recent developments and any potential implications.

Thanks again,

Telephone: [redacted]
Blackberry [redacted]
E-mail | Courriel [redacted]@canada.ca



Public Safety Canada Sécurité publique Canada

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 11:25 AM
To: [redacted] PS/SP
Cc: [redacted]; Toma, Alexandra (IC); Scott, Shari (IC)
Subject: [redacted] Follow-up to forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning [redacted]

[redacted]

Our May 21st ISED letter granting [redacted] forbearance from certain parts of the lawful interception requirements requires that we have discussions in October 2016 on [redacted] progress towards full compliance to the Solicitor General requirements. This is the reason for my email.

As I had mentioned when we spoke in April, [redacted]

[redacted]

Please let me know when you are available for a discussion.

Best regards.. [redacted]

[redacted]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: April 25, 2016 11:26 AM
To: [REDACTED] Scott, Shari (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] Toma, Alexandra (IC)
Subject: RE: Modification to [REDACTED] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for the email and the clarification.

We will be in touch,

[REDACTED]

Telephone: [REDACTED]
Blackberry [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



Public Safety Canada Sécurité publique Canada

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 10:43 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Scott, Shari (IC)
Cc: Nisrine Slaymane; Toma, Alexandra (IC)
Subject: Modification to [REDACTED] request for forbearance from Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Standards)

Good morning to you all,

This morning I had a discussion with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] will review the new Lawful Intercept arrangements with ISED and Public Safety before they are implemented.

Best regards..... [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: Pichette, Laura (IC) on behalf of Hill2, Peter (IC)
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 9:38 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Rudeen, Duane (IC); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Request for forbearance extension
Attachments: 2017-03-06-Letter to [REDACTED].pdf

[REDACTED]
Please see attached a letter in reply to your request dated February 21, 2017 with respect to a forbearance extension.

Sincerely,

Peter Hill
Director General, Spectrum Management Operations | Directeur general, Opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum Management Operations Branch | Direction générale des opérations de la gestion du spectre
Spectrum, Information Technologies and Telecommunications Sector | Secteur du Spectre, des technologies de l'information et des télécommunications
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada | Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada
235 Queen Street, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 | 235, rue Queen, Ottawa, ON K1A 0H5
Telephone | Téléphone 343-291-3462
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada



Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada

Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada

MAR 06 2017



Dear [redacted]

I refer to your letter dated February 21, 2017, requesting a 24-month extension to the earlier forbearance from [redacted] of the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications*.



In light of the above, by this letter I am granting forbearance [redacted] from the *Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Intercept of Telecommunications* provision [redacted] for one year, until April 30, 2018.

Notwithstanding that Public Safety Canada (PSC) may receive updates [redacted] I would request that [redacted] provide an update on this work [redacted] by October 31, 2017.


This forbearance is issued without prejudice to the requirements of any other legislative obligations.

...2/

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact Matthew Mulvihill at (613) 614-8710 or matthew.mulvihill@canada.ca.

Sincerely,



Peter Hill
Director General
Spectrum Management Operations Branch

c.c.: [redacted] [\[redacted\]@canada.ca](mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca)
[redacted] [\[redacted\]@canada.ca](mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca)
[redacted] [\[redacted\]@canada.ca](mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca)

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 3:45 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

Will do

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 3:41 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

[REDACTED] Can you follow up? Thanks.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 3:04 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

One last thought on this - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 2:45 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Beecher, Sophie (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

Hello [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Best regards

Suzanne Lambert
Director, Space Services Operations | Directrice, Opérations des services spatiaux
Engineering, Planning and Standards Branch | Direction générale du génie, de la planification et des normes

Spectrum, Information Technologies and Telecommunications Sector | Secteur du Spectre, des technologies de l'information et des télécommunications

Industry Canada | Industrie Canada

235 Queen Street, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 | 235, rue Queen, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 Suzanne.Lambert@ic.gc.ca

Telephone | Téléphone 343-291-1969

Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: March-10-17 1:31 PM
To: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Beecher, Sophie (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

Hi Suzanne,


Just following up on this. Have you or your team had a chance to consider the proposed response?

Thanks again,

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, March 08, 2017 11:28 AM
To: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Beecher, Sophie (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: forbearance

Good Morning Suzanne,

Regards,




From: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2016 11:39 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC)
Subject: RE: torebearance

Hi [REDACTED]

I have nothing more to add, thanks
Suzanne

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: November-24-16 11:32 AM
To: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC)
Subject: RE: torebearance

Thank you for your response, Suzanne.



Cheers,
[REDACTED]

From: Lambert, Suzanne (IC)
Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2016 10:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Toma, Alexandra (IC); Power, Christine (IC)
Subject: RE: forebearance

Hello [REDACTED]

I am the director, space services operations, which issues the licences to the MSS operators, which include the lawful intercept condition of licence.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Should you wish to discuss this further, do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Suzanne Lambert
Director, Space Services Operations | Directrice, Opérations des services spatiaux
Engineering, Planning and Standards Branch | Direction générale du génie, de la planification et des normes
Spectrum, Information Technologies and Telecommunications Sector | Secteur du Spectre, des technologies de l'information et des télécommunications

Industry Canada | Industrie Canada
235 Queen Street, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 | 235, rue Queen, Ottawa ON K1A 0H5 Suzanne.Lambert@ic.gc.ca
Telephone | Téléphone 343-291-1969
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: November-15-16 9:58 AM
To: Power, Christine (IC); Toma, Alexandra (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: forebearance

Good morning all,

I work with [REDACTED] at Public Safety National Security Policy. We have received a request for forbearance from the SolGen Standards from [REDACTED] in following up with us on that request, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thank you for your assistance,

[REDACTED]

Junior Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED]

[\[REDACTED\]@canada.ca](mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca)

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 5:10 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 4:47 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SMS

Solicitor-Client Privilege

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 4:29 PM
To: Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Cc: Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks Shalin.

[REDACTED]

From: Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 4:05 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SMS

Solicitor-Client Privilege

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 3:49 PM
To: Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Cc: Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); [REDACTED] PS/SP
Subject: [REDACTED]

Hi Shalin,

We have a draft response to [REDACTED] that CSIS, RCMP, and ISED have all reviewed.

[REDACTED]

Thanks for your assistance with this,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]

Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]@canada.ca

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 4:48 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] email

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
>>> [REDACTED] (PS/SP)" [REDACTED]@canada.ca> 14/03/2017 4:45:54 PM >>>
Hi everybody,



Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 5:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarzewski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] email

Thank you to everyone for your comments with the short notice. Proposed changes look good.

I will follow up if ISED raises any concerns and on any next steps.

[REDACTED]

Cheers,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@smtp.gc.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 2:08 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] email

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 7-Mar-17 11:10 AM
To: [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED]@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; [REDACTED] John ING
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Piekarczywski, Anna (PS/SP); Sugunasiri, Shalin (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] email

Good morning all,

Further to our discussion yesterday, please find our draft email to [REDACTED] below for your consideration. It's been approved at the Director-level here.


We will circle back to ISED after incorporating any comments you may have. In the interest of providing a response to the proponent as soon as possible, I'd be grateful if you are able to provide your feedback at your earliest convenience.

Thanks again for your help in bringing this case to a resolution.

Regards,


[REDACTED]

Good afternoon [REDACTED]



Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

@canada.ca



[REDACTED]
(PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 3:41 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Fw: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Did you want to reach out to the agencies to set something up? September might work unless they're in a rush.

Thanks.
[REDACTED]

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 3:21 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

[REDACTED]

After consulting colleagues at [REDACTED] the best times would either be next week up to and including 4 August, or during the first two weeks of September beginning Monday 4 September. I hope this also works for your colleagues,

Regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 24 July 2017 21:59
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Good afternoon,

A call next week up to and including the 10th of August, or at some point during the first two weeks of September, would be ideal. If you could let me know the range of dates that works best for you, then I can also canvas my colleagues to land on a date that works for everyone.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 2:31 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Dear [redacted]

Thanks for your reply confirming this, and for introducing [redacted]. I apologise for not being able to write back sooner. My first intent was to confirm who to contact and then to examine availability for a call. The current period of forbearance [redacted] but it seemed preferable to reach out now rather than leave it until after the holiday season and have people's calendars start to fill again.

I'll report back to [redacted] and find out when colleagues there are (or aren't) available in the weeks ahead. In the meantime, can you advise me whether you have a period of non-availability? [redacted] the best time of day for a call will probably be about mid-day [redacted]

Regards

From: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Sent: 17 July 2017 22:06
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (PS/SP) [mailto:[redacted]@canada.ca]
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Dear [redacted]

I remain a point of contact, though I'd be grateful if you could also include my colleague, [redacted] on these matters in future.

Roughly when did you have in mind for the conference call?

Thanks,

[redacted]
Public Safety Canada
[redacted]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:13 PM
To: [redacted] (PS/SP)
Subject: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact


Dear [redacted]

In [redacted] last year I wrote to ISED Canada [redacted] requesting forbearance from a licensing requirement to maintain lawful intercept capabilities. At the time I was advised that you were the correct point of contact at Public Safety Canada and I included you in copy.

We need to plan a conference call with Public Safety Canada to provide a status report on the lawful intercept capability. Are you still the correct first point of contact on this matter?

I hope this finds you well, and look forward to your reply,

Regards



[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: August-04-17 12:54 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Attention: Duane Rudeen 6th Floor
Attachments: PS-SP-SEC-#49386-1-[Redacted] 2017-08).XLSX

~~SECRET~~SECRET

Hello,

Please provide the attached to Duane Rudeen.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

Policy Analyst
National Security Policy Directorate || Intelligence Policy Division
Public Safety Canada

[Redacted]

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, August 04, 2017 2:49 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

[REDACTED]
Many thanks for this, I'm seeking confirmation now and will confirm as soon as I can.

Regards

[REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 04 August 2017 19:32
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Hi [REDACTED]

We've tentatively scheduled a conference call for Tuesday, September 5th, at 2PM, after consulting our colleagues.

Please let me know if this time also works for you and I can reach out with a calendar invite that has the dial-in details.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 3:21 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

[REDACTED]
After consulting colleagues [REDACTED] the best times would either be next week up to and including 4 August, or during the first two weeks of September beginning Monday 4 September. I hope this also works for your colleagues,

Regards

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 24 Jul 2017 21:59
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Good afternoon,

A call next week up to and including the 10th of August, or at some point during the first two weeks of September, would be ideal. If you could let me know the range of dates that works best for you, then I can also canvas my colleagues to land on a date that works for everyone.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2017 2:31 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Dear [REDACTED]

Thanks for your reply confirming this, and for introducing [REDACTED]. I apologise for not being able to write back sooner. My first intent was to confirm who to contact and then to examine availability for a call. The current period of forbearance granted to [REDACTED] runs through the end of September, but it seemed preferable to reach out now rather than leave it until after the holiday season and have people's calendars start to fill again.

I'll report back to [REDACTED] and find out when colleagues there are (or aren't) available in the weeks ahead. In the meantime, can you advise me whether you have a period of non-availability? [REDACTED] the best time of day for a call will probably be about mid-day [REDACTED]

Regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 17 July 2017 22:06
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Subject: RE: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Dear [REDACTED]

I remain a point of contact, though I'd be grateful if you could also include my colleague [REDACTED] on these matters in future.

Roughly when did you have in mind for the conference call?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 2:13 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Lawful intercept and radio licensing - PSC point of contact

Dear [REDACTED]

In September last year I wrote to ISED Canada on behalf of [REDACTED] requesting forbearance from a licensing requirement to maintain lawful intercept capabilities. At the time I was advised that you were the correct point of contact at Public Safety Canada and I included you in copy.

We need to plan a conference call with Public Safety Canada to provide a status report on the lawful intercept capability. Are you still the correct first point of contact on this matter?

I hope this finds you well, and look forward to your reply,

Regards

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 7:03 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]
Attachments: PS-SP-#1652195-1-Letter - Lawful Access - Forbearance Approval Letter - [REDACTED] (final) .PDF; PS-SP-#1652196-1-Letter - Lawful Access - Forbearance Approval Letter - [REDACTED] (final) .PDF; PS-SP-#1652197-1-Letter - Lawful Access - Forbearance Approval Letter - [REDACTED] (final) .PDF

Hi [REDACTED]

As requested, attached are the final letters issued by ISED last year for [REDACTED]

Cheers,

[REDACTED]
Telephone [REDACTED]
Blackberry [REDACTED]
E-mail | Courriel: [REDACTED]@canada.ca



From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Friday, August 04, 2017 2:40 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED]

Hey [REDACTED]

We have an upcoming conference call with [REDACTED] regarding their forbearance from the SGES.

When you have a moment could you please provide their latest forbearance extension, etc.? Assume that would have gone through you last year..

Thanks.
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]@canada.ca




Industry Canada Industrie Canada




235 Queen Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H5

OCT 07 2015



Our File: 3150-1 (602866 AT)




Dear 

This letter refers to the request submitted on behalf of 
 on September 29, 2015, to
extend the period of forbearance from the requirement of condition 6 a) of its
licence 



We are therefore granting  forbearance from condition
6 a) of its licence until September 30, 2016. 




.../2

Canada 

-2-

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact
Alexandra Toma at (343) 291-1972 or Shari Scott at (613) 986-0017.

Sincerely,


Suzanne Lambert,
Director, Space Services

c.c.  Public Safety Canada




Industry Industrie
Canada Canada




235 Queen Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H5

OCT 07 2015



Our File: 3150-1 (602801 AT)




Dear 

This letter refers to the request submitted on behalf of 
 on September 28, 2015, to
extend the period of forbearance from the requirement of condition 6 a) of its
licence 



We are therefore granting  forbearance from condition
6 a) of its licence until September 30, 2016. 



.../2

Canada

-2-

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact
Alexandra Toma at (343) 291-1972 or Shari Scott at (613) 986-0017.

Sincerely,



Suzanne Lambert,
Director, Space Services

c.c.  Public Safety Canada




Industry Canada Industrie Canada

235 Queen Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H5

OCT 07 2015

Our File: 3150-1 (602863 AT)




Dear [redacted]

This letter refers to the request submitted on behalf of [redacted] on September 29, 2015, to extend the period of forbearance from the requirement of condition 6 a) of its licence [redacted]



We are therefore granting [redacted] forbearance from condition 6 a) of its licence until September 30, 2016. [redacted]




.../2

Canada

-2-

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact
Alexandra Toma at (343) 291-1972 or Shari Scott at (613) 986-0017.

Sincerely,


Suzanne Lambert,
Director, Space Services

c.c.  Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 10:19 AM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: FW: [REDACTED] forbearance extensions
Attachments: SITT-STIT-#728525-v1 [REDACTED]_extension_to_the_lawful_inter....pdf;
SITT-STIT-#728513-v1 [REDACTED]_-_extension_of_LI_intercept_fo....pdf

FYI

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2016 4:05 PM
To: [REDACTED] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] @smtp.gc.ca'; [REDACTED] @smtp.gc.ca'; [REDACTED] @rcmp-grc.gc.ca)
Subject: [REDACTED] forbearance extensions

Colleagues,

Please find attached the forbearance extensions for [REDACTED] from ISED. Note the condition that there be an update from [REDACTED] by March 31, 2017.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]




Innovation, Sciences et
Développement économique Canada

Innovation, Science and
Economic Development Canada





235 Queen Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H5


SEP 28 2016

Our File: 3150-1 (727703 AT)




Dear 

This letter refers to the request submitted on behalf of 
 on September 21, 2016, to
extend the period of forbearance from the requirement of condition 6 a) of its
licence 




We are therefore granting  forbearance from condition
6 a) of its licence until September 30, 2017. 




.../2

Canada

-2-

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact
Alexandra Toma at (343) 291-1972 or Shari Scott at (613) 986-0017.

Sincerely,



Suzanne Lambert,
Director, Space Services

c.c.  Public Safety Canada




Innovation, Sciences et
Développement économique Canada

Innovation, Science and
Economic Development Canada




235 Queen Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H5


SEP 28 2016


Our File: 3150-1 (726167 AT)




Dear 

This letter refers to the request submitted on behalf of 
 on September 16, 2016, to
extend the period of forbearance from the requirement of condition 6 a) of its
licence 



We are therefore granting  forbearance from condition
6 a) of its licence until September 30, 2017.



.../2

Canada

-2-

Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact
Alexandra Toma at (343) 291-1972 or Shari Scott at (613) 986-0017.

Sincerely,



Suzanne Lambert,
Director, Space Services

c.c.  Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 2:49 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] conference call about LI capability

Dashiell

As well as myself, there will be:

[REDACTED]

I have included all in copy so all our email addresses should work,

Regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: 08 August 2017 17:48
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED]@canada.ca>
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] conference call about LI capability

Hi [REDACTED]

Yes, please send me their email addresses and I will send everyone a calendar invite with the dial-in information.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 12:35 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: [REDACTED] conference call about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I've been in contact with [REDACTED] and 1400 EDT on 5 September works for all of us. Do you need me to send you their email addresses?

Regards

Page 99

**is withheld pursuant to sections
est retenue en vertu des articles**

**of the Access to Information
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 5:19 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Forbearance from SG Lawful Intercept Request

Hi [REDACTED]

I think first I need to find out what the process is, so perhaps a quick call just on that would be quick to arrange.

[REDACTED]

If I could propose a quick call to discuss process this week followed by a call to discuss it in more detail next week?

If that plan works for you, I am available from 9-12am ET tomorrow and all day Friday to talk process.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP) [mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca]
Sent: August 9, 2017 02:24 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: Forbearance from SG Lawful Intercept Request

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for reaching out. I am copying my colleague [REDACTED] who also works on lawful access at Public Safety, as well as our manager, [REDACTED]. I would ask that you please include them on future correspondence.

We would certainly be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding the forbearance process. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Could you please provide a sense of what, specifically, you had hoped to discuss?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 12:40 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: Forbearance from SG Lawful Intercept Request

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] provided me with your name as [REDACTED] would like to raise the possibility of forbearance [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] recommended that we speak with you prior to submitting an application. This would allow us to provide you with more context and also allow us to ask a few questions regarding the process. Please let me know a few times that would work for you and I can make the arrangements.

Thanks,

10. Lawful Intercept

The licensee operating as telecommunication common carrier using the spectrum for voice telephony systems must, from the inception of service, provide for and maintain lawful interception capabilities as authorized by law. The requirements for lawful interception capabilities are provided in the Solicitor General's Enforcement Standards for Lawful Interception of Telecommunications (Rev. Nov. 95). These standards may be amended from time to time.

The licensee may request the Minister of Industry to forbear from enforcing certain assistance capability requirements for a limited period of time. The Minister, following consultation with Public Safety Canada, may exercise the power to forbear from enforcing a requirement or requirements where, in the opinion of the Minister, the requirement is not reasonably achievable. Requests for forbearance must include specific details and dates indicating when compliance to the requirement can be expected.

[REDACTED] (PS/SP)

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 12:53 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Lander, Elisabeth (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: quick question

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] had been in touch with us about the forthcoming request.

[REDACTED]

They apparently have a request ready to go so we will let them know to forward it to us and we will start with the process.

You do not need to pass them back to us.

We will forward you their request once we receive it.

Duane

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: August-10-17 12:47 PM
To: Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Lander, Elisabeth (IC); Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: quick question

Hi everybody,

[REDACTED] has contacted us because they apparently intend to request forbearance from [REDACTED] and have questions regarding the process for requesting forbearance.

We will be advising [REDACTED] that they will need to contact ISED with their request as the first step in the process. Is there a specific point of contact to whom you would like us to refer [REDACTED]?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada
[REDACTED]@canada.ca

(PS/SP)

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 11:17 AM
To: (PS/SP)
Cc: (PS/SP); (PS/SP); Lander, Elisabeth (IC)
Subject: RE: SGES
Attachments: CMS Licensees.xlsx

Hi (PS/SP)

Attached is a list of our commercial mobile spectrum licensees

Commercial mobile spectrum licences are defined as those licences issued in the Cellular, Personal Communications Services (PCS), Advanced Wireless Services (AWS-1, AWS-3, AWS-4), Broadband Radio Service (BRS), Mobile Broadband Service (MBS) and Wireless Communication Services (WCS) bands. As new spectrum is allocated for the purposes of providing commercial mobile services, these provisions will apply to those bands.

AWS-1	Advanced Wireless Services	1700/2100 MHz
AWS-3	Advanced Wireless Services	1700/2100 MHz
AWS-4	Advanced Wireless Services	2000/2200 MHz
BRS	Broadband Radio Service	2500-2590 MHz
CELL	Cellular	824 - 894 MHz
MBS	Mobile Broadband Service	700 MHz
PCS	Personal Communication Services	1800 MHz
WCS	Wireless Communication Services	2300 MHz
AGND	Air-to-Ground	850/890 MHz

(AGND is not considered commercial mobile spectrum however I have included them in this as it is more of backhaul spectrum to link the wifi on board the aircraft to the ground station interconnected to the internet)

I have included the type of licence (auctioned, non-auction and subordinate) – subordinate is similar to a leasing arrangement where another licensee holds the primary licence and another licensee holds a subordinate licence for some or all of the same spectrum and/or some or all of the licence area.

The numbers in each column represent the number of licences each licensee holds. It may not be important but it will give you an indication of the "size" of each licensee's operations.

Also note that AWS-1 licences are coming up for renewal in late 2018. Typically, those who have not deployed any stations will have their licence withdrawn.

You can pass this on to your partners.

If you have any questions, let me know

Duane

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: August-09-17 4:50 PM
To: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: SGES

No problem, Duane.

[REDACTED]

If you have any questions you would like us to convey to the agencies, please let us know.

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 3:59 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: SGES

Got it - thanks

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: August-04-17 1:02 PM
To: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Subject: RE: SGES

Hi Duane,

FYI, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 4:04 PM
To: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Lander, Elisabeth (IC); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PS/SP); Hartley, William (PS/SP)
Subject: RE: SGES

Hi [REDACTED]

Sorry for the late reply

You can send if via C5 to [REDACTED]

And make sure to put "**Attention: Duane Rudeen 6th Floor**" in the subject line so the security office knows who to contact.

Thanks

Duane

From: [REDACTED] (PS/SP)
Sent: July-24-17 11:01 AM
To: Lander, Elisabeth (IC); Mulvihill, Matthew (IC); Rudeen, Duane (IC)
Cc: [REDACTED] PS/SP; Hartley, William (PS/SP)
Subject: SGES

Hi everybody,

On Friday, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] It's classified "Secret"; what would be the best way to transmit the document to you? We can send over DRAGON or C5, if ISED is connected to those systems. Alternatively if there is a CTSN account for an ISED user that could pass on the documents to you, I could also provide that way. Beyond those approaches, I'm open to any suggestions you may have.

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Policy Analyst
National and Cyber Security Branch
Public Safety Canada

[REDACTED] [@canada.ca](mailto:[REDACTED]@canada.ca)

**Pages 106 to / à 112
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

**of the Access to Information
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: July-21-17 2:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] Morris, Jeffrey (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED] (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED] (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED] Caron, Michelle (RCMP-GRC)
Subject: RE: Intercept Gap Matrix
Attachments: [REDACTED].xlsx

Classification: Secret
Classification: Secret
Restriction / Restriction d'accès: [REDACTED]
File Number / No. de dossier: [REDACTED]

Hi Dashiell,

[REDACTED] has compiled a list based on what we know about providers, services and forbearance. I think this list covers most providers but I believe there are others based on data I found on the ISED site. I really think ISED would be in the best position to provide a list of providers who fall under the license conditions. I'm not sure who is in the best position to track the forbearance requests and follow ups (PS? ISED?).

Early next week I will send the latest capabilities matrix (updated July 2017).

Thanks

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 5-Jul-17 12:44 PM
To: [REDACTED] (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED] (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED] (RCMP-GRC); Michelle Caron (RCMP-GRC)
Cc: [REDACTED] (PSEPC-SPPCC); [REDACTED] (PSEPC-SPPCC); [REDACTED] (PSEPC-SPPCC); Jeffrey Morris (RCMP-GRC); [REDACTED]
Subject: Intercept Gap Matrix

CLASSIFICATION:TOP SECRET//CANADIAN EYES ONLY

Hi everybody,


Including policy partners, as the attached is also relevant to the broader lawful access work. [REDACTED] the context is that we had a meeting with ISED this morning regarding a specific SGES file.


Ops partners, as discussed at the meeting today, here are the materials I found in NSOD's old files (NSPD was, unfortunately, only recently granted access to their classified shared drive). The materials include a spreadsheet from September 2014, with data on intercept capability gaps, and a PDF matrix dated January 2012, which seems to be based on the same dataset.

My recollection at the meeting wasn't entirely accurate – rather than demonstrating gaps solely in compliance with the SGES, the matrices chart overall intercept capability gaps in the Canadian communications services market, covering individual providers across multiple sectors. The 2012 adds additional context by indicating, by service, 



The spreadsheet and PDF both have an overall TS classification, which creates issues in sharing with ISED.

I would suggest that it may be worthwhile to use the attached as the basis to develop a current, “scrubbed” matrix showing just which wireless voice/SMS providers have intercept capability, and thus are in compliance with the SGES CoL; and where there is non-compliance or forbearance (to the extent agencies have this information). We could then ask ISED to check this against their records of granting forbearance. This is assuming partners still consider this exercise beneficial, and there is comfort with sharing such information at the “Secret” level.


More broadly, I would also suggest that it would having an updated version of the TS chart, would be very useful as a reference point in briefing material.  I imagine that there was some work in this regard as part of the effort to compile data on intercept gaps. Would be possible to make the updates over the next few weeks?



Policy Analyst
Intelligence Policy Division
Public Safety Canada



@canada.ca (unclass)



**Pages 115 to / à 116
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

**of the Access to Information
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**